SENSITIVE

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2	7017 ぐにひ ソ / - レ何 1.* ソロ	ECTION COMMISSION E Street, N.W.	2012 CED 27 DM F. OC
3		ngton, D.C. 20463	2012 SEP 27 PM 5: 06
4 5	FIRST GENERA	AL COUNSEL'S REPORT	CELA
6	·		
7 8		AUDIT REFERRAL: 12-0 DATE REFERRED: July	
9		DATE ACTIVATED: Sept	
10 11 12		STATUTE OF LIMITATIO	ONS: 7/31/12 (earliest) 1/29/14 (latest)
13 14	SOURCE:	AUDIT REFERRAL	
15 16 17	RESPONDENT:	National Right to Life PAC Landrum in his official	•
18 19 20	RELEVANT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS:	2 U.S.C. § 434(b)	
21 22 23	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Audit Documents Disclosure Reports	
24 25	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
26	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
27	This matter was generated by a refe	rral from the Audit Division	("Referral") following a
28	Commission audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 4	38(b). On July 11, 2012, the	Commission approved
29	the Final Audit Report ("FAR") of National Right to Life Political Action Committee and Joseph		
30	M. Landrum in his official capacity as treas	surer ("NRTL PAC"), which	recommended that the
31	Commission adopt a finding that NRTL PA	C misstated its financial activated	vity in 2007 and 2008.
32	See Attach. 1.		
33	Based on a comparison of bank reco	ords with NRTL PAC's repor	ted figures, the FAR
34	showed a misstatement of receipts and cash	on-hand in 2007 and disburg	sements and cash-on-
35	hand in 2008. In 2007, NRTL PAC oversta	ated beginning cash-on-hand	by \$130,932, understated
36	receipts by \$29,624, and overstated ending	cash-on-hand by \$104,632.	In 2008, it overstated

10 11 AR 12-03 (NRTL PAC)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 2 of 8

- disbursements by \$1,437,635 and understated ending cash-on-hand by \$1,300,378. On
- 2 August 8, 2012, this Office notified the Respondents of the Referral in accordance with
- 3 Commission policy. See Agency Procedure for Notice to Respondents in Non-Complaint
- 4 Generated Matters, 74 Fed. Reg. 38617 (Aug. 4, 2009). NRTL PAC did not respond.²
- We recommend that the Commission open a Matter Under Review ("MUR") and find
- 6 reason to believe that NRTL PAC violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) by misstating its financial activity.
- 7 Additionally, we recommend that the Commission enter into pre-probable cause conciliation
- 8 with NRTL PAC and approve the attached conciliation agreement.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

- The following tables set forth the discrepancies identified in the Referral for NRTL
- 13 PAC's beginning and ending cash balances, receipts, and disbursements for 2007 and 2008.
- Each table is followed by an explanation of the misstatements.

Table 1: NRTL PAC 2007 Activity				
Item	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy	
COH January 1, 2007	\$612,737	\$481,805	\$130,932 Overstated	
Receipts	\$53,518	\$83,142	\$29,624 Understated	
Disbursements	\$102,265	\$105,589	\$3,324 Understated	
COH December 31, 2007	\$563,990	\$459,358	\$104,632 Overstated	

These figures were derived from a comparison of NRTL PAC's bank records to its most recently amended reports at the time of the audit. The audit also compared NRTL PAC's original reports with bank records, which showed that NRTL PAC had overstated disbursements in the amount of \$687,536 for 2007 and 2008. See Referral at 4.

Having received no response to the referral notification, the Office of General Counsel contacted the treasurer to inquire whether a response was forthcoming. On September 7, 2012, NRTL PAC's treasurer confirmed that the Committee did not intend to submit a response.

According to the Referral, the overstatement of beginning cash-on-hand by \$130,932 in 2007 likely resulted from prior period discrepancies. The understatement of receipts by \$29,624 resulted from unreported bank interest of \$14,771, unreported vendor refunds for radio ads of \$4,051, and an unexplained difference of \$10,802. NRTL PAC also understated disbursements by \$3,324, resulting in a \$104,632 overstatement of its ending cash-on-hand for 2007 (\$130,932 - \$29,624 = \$101,308; \$101,308 + 3,324 = \$104,632).

Table 2: NRTL PAC 2008 Activity				
Item	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy	
COH January 1, 2008	\$563,990	\$459,358	\$104,632 Overstated	
Receipts	\$3,626,011	\$3,593,386	\$32,625 Overstated	
Disbursements	\$4,718,401	\$3,280,766	\$1,437,635 Overstated	
COH December 31,2008	(\$528,400)	\$771,978	\$1,300,378 Understated	

According to the Referral, the overstatement of disbursements of \$1,437,635 in 2008 resulted from an unexplained over-reported difference of \$14,692, disbursements to a vendor of \$1,526,656 that NRTL PAC double reported, and other over-reported disbursements of \$80,357, offset by unreported disbursements of \$184,070.

The Referral notes that the displicate reporting to the vendor, which constitutes the bulk of the overstated disbursements, was due to NRTL PAC's incorrect reporting of independent expenditures. NRTL PAC paid its printing vendor for independent expenditures in advance of the dissemination dates, and correctly reported these payments on Schedule B, line 21b, as operating expenditures. It also correctly disclosed the printed independent expenditures on Schedule E when it disseminated the materials. Once NRTL PAC disseminated the printed independent expenditure materials, it also should have subtracted the amounts of these

9

AR 12-03 (NRTL PAC)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 4 of 8

expenditures (the previously reported advances) from Schedule B, line 21b on its next d

- 2 report. NRTL PAC failed to do so, however, substantially inflating total disbursements by
- 3 reporting those disbursements twice, once on Schedule B and again on Schedule E.³
- In response to the Interim Audit Report's recommendation, NRTL PAC amended its
- 5 reports to properly disclose 2007 and 2008 activity.

B. Legal Analysis

7 The Federal Election Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), requires treasurers to file

8 reports disclosing the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning and end of each reporting period;

the total amount of receipts for the reporting period and for the calendar year; and the total

amount of disbursements for the reporting period and for the calendar year. See

2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1), (2), (4). NRTL PAC did not comply with the Act's reporting requirements

when it overstated beginning cash-on-hand by \$130,932, understated receipts by \$29,624, and

overstated ending cash-on-hand by \$104,632 in 2007; and when it overstated disbursements by

\$1,437,635 and understated ending cash-on-hand by \$1,300,378 in 2008. Therefore, we

recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that NRTL PAC violated 2 U.S.C.

16 § 434(b).

17

13

15

18

19

20

During the audit hearing, NRTL PAC's counsel stated that most of the misstatement resulted from this bookkeeping error. Referral at 3. Counsel explained that NRTL PAC became aware of a reporting error due to a negative cash-on-hand balance prior to filing its disclosure reports, filed those reports with the incorrect negative cash-on-hand balance, and subsequently discovered the cause of the error shortly before the audit began. *Id.* at 3-4.

AR 12-03 (NRTL PAC) First General Counsel's Report Page 6 of 8

•

		enera	NRTL PAC) al Counsel's Report
1			;
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	IV.	RI	ECOMMENDATIONS
11		1.	Open a MUR.
12 13		2.	Find reason to believe that National Right to Life PAC and Joseph M. Landrum in his official capacity as treasurer violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
14		3.	Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.
15 16		4.	Enter into conciliation with National Right to Life PAC and Joseph M. Landrum in his official capacity as treasurer prior to a finding of probable cause to believe.
17		5.	
18			
19			
20			
21	,		
22			
23			
24			

AR 12-03 (NRTL PAC) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 8

1	6. Approve the appropriate letter.	
2	·	A
3 4 5	9/27/12 Date	Anthony Herman General Counsel
5 6 7 8 9		KH, He
8		Kathleen Guith
9		Deputy Associate General Counsel
10		for Enforcement
11		0 f 7.
12		Dura L. Lebeny
13		Susan L. Lebeaux
14		Assistant General Counsel
15		5 10 1 k 0° 1
16		Delbert K. Rigsly
17		Delbert K. Rigsby
18		Attorney
19		
20	Attachments:	
21	1. Final Audit Report	
22		
23		



Final Audit Report of the Commission on the National Right to Life Political Action Committee

January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008

Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the **Federal Election** Campaign Act (the Act). The Commission geometry conducts such audits witon a committee appears mat to have met the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. The audit determines whether the committee complied with the limitations, prohibitions and disclosure requirements of the Act.

Future Action

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to the matter discussed in this report.

About the Committee (p. 2)

The National Right to Life Political Action Committee is a separate segregated fixed of the National Right to Life Committee and is headquartered in Washington, DC, For more information, see the chart on the Committee Organization, p. 2.

Financial Activity (p. 2)

•	Reseipts	
---	----------	--

o From Individuals		\$ 3,662,627
o From Other Political Committees	•	9,850
o Offsets to Operating Expenditures		4,051
Total Receipts		\$ 3,676,528

Disbursements

0	Operating Expanditures	\$ 567,680
	Independent Expenditures	2,804,925
0	Contributions to Other Committees	13,750
Tot	al Disbursements	\$ 3,386,355

Commission Finding (p. 3)

Misstatement of Pinancial Activity

¹ 2 U.S.C. 2436(b).

Final Audit Report of the Commission on the National Right to Life Political Action Committee

January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008



ATTACHMENT Page of 10

Table of Contents

	Page
Part I. Background	
Authority for Audit	1
Scope of Audit	1
Limitations	1
Audit Hearing	• 1
Part II. Overview of Committee	
Committee Organization	2
Overview of Financial Activity	2
Part III. Summary	
Commission Finding	3
Part IV. Commission Finding	
Misstatement of Financial Activity	4
	-

Part I Background

Authority for Audit

This report is based on an audit of the National Right to Life Political Action Committee (NRL PAC), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conducted and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report nation 2 U.S.C. §434. Prior to conducting any audit maker this submertion, this Commission must perform an internal review of repeats filed by salerted committees to determine if the reports filed by a particular committee must the threshold inquisaments for substitutial controllance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Scope of Audit

Following Commission-approved procedures, the Audit staff evaluated various risk factors and, as a result, this audit examined:

- 1. the disclosure of disbursements, debts and obligations;
- 2. the disclosure of individual contributors' companion and rease of employer;
- 3. the empirement between reported figures and bank records;
- 4. the completeness of remusias and
- 5. other committee operations necessary to the review.

Limitations

NRL PAC satisfied the recordkeeping requirements of 11 CFR §102.9 concerning disbursements. Testing of independent expenditures and debts was limited to a review of the disbursement records NRL PAC provided to the Audit staff. Absent review of external documentation such as some invoices and broadcast station information, the Audit staff was unable to determine whether reperting independent expenditures or debts was required.

Audio Herring

NRL PAC requested a huming before the Commission. The request was granted and the hearing was held on February 15, 2012. At the huming, NRL PAC addingsted issues related to the scope limitation, the misstatement of financial activity and the disclosure of occupation and name of employer information.²

ATTACHMEN	T		
Page	of	10	

² New information presented by NRL PAC at the hearing resulted in the removal of a finding on the disclosure of occupation and name of employer information from the audit report.

Part II Overview of Committee

Committee Organization

Important Dates	1
Date of Registration	July 12, 1979
Audit Coverage	January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008
Headquarters	Washington, DC
Bank Information	
Bank Depositories	One
Bank Accounts	Two
Treasurer	
Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	Carol Tobias (October 29, 2009 – June 9, 2011) Joseph M. Landrum (June 10, 2011 – Present)
Treasurer During Period Covered by Audit	Amarie Natividad (January 1, 2007 – Sepasmiser 3, 2008) Carol Tobias (September 4, 2008 – December 31, 2008)
Management Information	
Attended Commission Campaign Finance Seminar	Yes
Who Handled Accounting and Recordkeeping Tasks	Paid staff

Overview of Financial Activity (Audited Amounts)

Cash-on-hand @ January 1, 2007	\$ 481,805 .
Receipts	
From Individuals	3,662,627
From Other Political Committees	9,850
Offsets to Operating Expenditures	4,051
otal Receipts	\$ 3,676,528
Disbursenianiz	
Operating Expanditures	567,680
Independent Elependitures	2,804,925
Contributions to Other Committees	13,750
otal Disbursements	\$ 3,386,355
ash-on-hand @ December 31, 2008	\$ 771,978

ATTACH			
Page	 _of_	10	_

Part III Summary

Commission Finding

Misstatement of Financial Activity

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of NRL PAC's reported figures with bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and cash-on-hand in 2007 and disbursements and cash-on-hand in 2008. In 2007, NRL PAC overstated beginning cash-on-hand by \$130,932, understated receipts by \$29,624 and overstated ending cash-on-hand by \$104,632. In 2008, NRL PAC overstated disbursements by \$1,437,635 and understated the enting cash-on-hand by \$1,300,378. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, NRL PAC filed amended reports, properly disclosing 2007 and 2008 activity. The NRL PAC treasurer stated that NRL PAC would reconcile its most recently reported cash balance and amend its cash balance on the next disclosure report filed.

In addition, the original reports filed by NRL PAC for 2007 and 2008 revealed an overstatement of disbursements in the amount of \$687,536. NRL PAC did not submit any additional information or written comments in response to the Interim Audit Report.

The Commission approved both parts of a finding that NRL PAC extentised financial activity for 2007 and 2008. (For more detail, see p. 4.)

ATTACHMENT | Page 6 of 10

Part IV Commission Finding

Misstatement of Financial Activity

Summary

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of NRL PAC's reported figures with bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and cash-on-hand in 2007 and disbursements and cash-on-hand in 2008. In 2007, NRL PAC overstated beginning cash-on-hand by \$130,932, understated receipts by \$29,624 and excustated ending cash-on-hand by \$1,04,632. In 2008, NRL PAC exercited disbussements by \$1,437,635 and understand the ending cash-ca-hand by \$1,300,378. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, NRL PAC filed assembled reports, properly disclosing 2007 and 2008 activity. The NRL PAC treasurer stated that NRL PAC would reconcile its most recently reported cash halonce and amend its cash balance on the next disclosure report filed.

In addition, the original reports filed by NRL PAC for 2007 and 2008 revealed an overstmement of disbursements in the amount of \$687,536. NRL PAC did not submit any additional information or written comments in response to the Interim Audit Report.

The Commission approved both pasts of a finding that NRL PAC missated figuresial activity for 2007 and 2008.

Legal Standard

Contents of Reports. Each report must disclose:

- the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning and end of the reporting period;
- the total amount of receipts for the reporting period and for the calendar year;
- the total amount of disbursements for the reporting period and for the calendar year; and
- certain transactions that require itemization on Schedule A (Itemized Receipts), Schedule B (Itemized Disbursements) or Schedule E (Itemized Independent Expenditures). 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5).

Facts and Analysis

A. Misstatement of Activity on Amended Reports as Compared with Bank Records

1. Facts

During said! fieldwork, the Audit staff reconciled reported activity with bank records for calendar years 2007 and 2008. The following charts outline the discrepancies for the beginning and smaling cash balances, receipts and dishumenants for each year. Succeeding paragraphs address the reasons for the misstatements.

ATTACHMEN	T		
Page	of_	10	

2007 Activity			
	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Beginning Cash Balance @ January 1, 2007	\$612,737	\$481,805	\$130,932 Overstated
Receipts	\$53,518	\$83,142	\$29,624 Understated
Disbursements	\$102,265	\$105,589	\$3,324 Understated
Ending Cash Balance @ December 31, 2007	\$563,990	\$459,358	\$104,632 Overstated

The overstatement of the beginning cash-on-hand by \$130,932 likely resulted from priorperiod discrepancies.

The understatement of receipts resulted from the following:

•	Bank interest not reported	\$ 14,771
•	Vendor refunds for radio ads not reported	4,051
•	Unexplained difference	<u>10.802</u>
	Understatement of receipts	\$ 29.624

The misstatements described above resulted in a \$104,632 overstatement of the ending cash-on-hand.

	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Beginning Cash Balance @ January 1, 2008	\$563,990	\$459,358	\$104,632 Overstated
Receipts	\$3,626,011	\$3,593,386	\$32,625 Overstated
Disbursonents	\$4,718,401	\$3,280,766	\$1,437,635 Overstated
Ending Cash Balance @ December 31, 2008	\$(528,400)	\$771,978	\$1,300,378 Understated

The overstatement of disbursements resulted from the following:

•	Unreported disbursements	\$ 184,070
•	Disbursements to printing vendor reported twice	(1,526,656)
•	Over-reported disbursements	(80,357)
•	Unexplained difference	(14.692)
	Not overstatement of disburscements	\$ (1.437.635)

The duplicate reporting of \$1,526,656 in disbursements to the printing vendor was due to incorrect reporting of independent expenditures. NRL PAC paid for these independent

ATTACHMENT 8	10
_	

expenditures in advance of the dissemination dates. NRL PAC should have reported these advance payments on Schmittle B, Line 210, as appearing expenditures: Once NRL PAC distributed the printed numerials, & should have subtracted the amounts of these expenditures from Line 21b and reported them as independent expenditures on Schedula E. NRL PAC correctly reported these expenditures on Line 21b when the payments were made, then correctly disclosed the independent expenditures on Schrödule E upon dissentination of the materials. However, NRL PAC failed to subtract the amount of the independent expenditures from Line 21b.

The misstatements described above resulted in a \$1,300,378 understatement of the ending cash-on-hand.

2. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

The Audit staff discussed the misstatements for 2007 and 2008 with NRL PAC representatives during the exit conference and provided copies of relevant work papers detailing the misstatements. NRL PAC representatives stated that the committee would file the necessary amended reports. Amended reports filed by NRL PAC after the exit conference corrected some, but not all, of the misstatements.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that NRL PAC:

- amend its reports to correct the remaining misstatements noted above;
- reconcile the cash balance on its most recent report to identify any subsequent discrepassifs that could affect the resonance adjustments; and
- adjust easis as necessary on its ment recent regard, noting that the change is the
 result of prior-seriod adjustments.
- 3. Committee Response to Interim Andit Report

NRL PAC filed amended 2007 and 2008 reports to properly disclose activity as recommended. In a subsequent communication, the current NRL PAC treasurer stated that the committee would reconcile the most recent cash balance and include the revised figure on the next report filed to cumply with the sucommendation.

4. Draft Find Audit Report

The Draft Final Audit Report neted that, in response to the Interior Audit Report, NRL PAC amended its reports to properly disclose activity as recommended by the Audit staff.

5. Committee Response to the Draft Final Audit Report
NRL PAC requested an Audit Hearing. In addition, NRL PAC noted that the misstatement
was largely due to a bookkeeping error.

6. Audit Hearing

During the audit liming. NRL PAC onusel miterated that must of the misstatement resulted from a simple mistake. He explained that NRL PAC became aware of this reporting error due to a negative cash-on-hand balance prier to filing its disclosure reports. NRL PAC filed the reports with the incorrect negative cash balance and subsequently discovered the cause of

ATTACHM	ENT_			
Page		_of_	70	
		_		

the error shortly before commencement of the audit. Corrective amendments were filed in response to the Interim Andit Ropont.

Commission Conclusion

On May 24, 2012, the Commission considered the Audit Division Recommendation Memorandum in which the Audit staff recommended that the Cammission find NRL PAC misstated its activity in 2007 and 2008.

The Commission approved the Audit staff's recommendation.

B. Misstatement of Attivity Reported on Original Reports as Compared with Bank Records

1. Facts

In addition to examining the most recent reports filed by NRL PAC prior to the audit, the Audit staff compared the original reports filed with the bank records and discovered a \$687,536 overstatement of disbursements in 2007 and 2008. This misstatement was largely due to the incorrect reporting of independent expanditures as noted above.

2. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

The Audit staff discussed the misstatements for 2007 and 2008 with NRL PAC representatives during the exit conference and provided copies of relevant work papers detailing the misstatements.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that NRL PAC subsait any additional information or written comments it considers relevant to the matter.

3. Committee Response to Interim Audit Report

NRL PAC did not submit any additional information or written comments regarding this matter.

4. Draft Kinal Andit Report

The Draft Final Audit Report nuted that NBL PAC did not address this issue in its response to the Interim Audit Report.

- 5. Committee Response to the Draft Final Audit Report
 NRL PAC did not specifically address this issue in its response to the Draft Final Audit
 Report.
- 6. Audit Hearing

This issue was not specifically addressed at the Audit Hearing.

Commission Conclusion

On May 24, 2012, the Commission considered the Audit Division Recommendation Memorandum in which the Audit staff recommended that the Commission find NRL PAC misstated its activity in 2007 and 2008.

The Commission approved the Audit staff's recommendation.

ATTACHMENT	
Page 10	_of